

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,362	09/14/2004		Timothy C. Bonerb	BON.US.47	5361	
24111	7590	06/06/2006		EXAMINER		
		AULT, PLLC	KAUFMAN, JOSEPH A			
1 NEW HA SUITE 125		AVE.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTSMO		03801		3754		
				DATE MAIL ED: 06/06/2000	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				۷		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/711,362	BONERB, TIMOTHY C.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joseph A. Kaufman	3754			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the communication apport.	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application					
· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5)🛛	Claim(s) 20 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,9,15,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>7,8,10-14 and 16-19</u> is/are objected t	o. ·				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	•••				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea					
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmen		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Infor	te of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/711,362 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6, 9, 15 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Walters.

Walters shows a liner 30; hopper 26; air discharge assembly 37 and its associated structure; support ring 33; the angle is greater than 15 degrees as noted in column 3, lines 34-42; center opening 28; holes where the bolts are, in the spacers, and in 32; perimeter holes seen in Figure 3; flange ring seen in Figure 4; the hopper/liner sections are the top, middle and bottom of the hopper/liner; and the liner outlets are the holes in the weave.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 5 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walters.

Walters has been discussed above, but lacks the angle of 20 degrees, the specific mention of the percentage of holes and the multiple hopper outlets. It would

Art Unit: 3754

have been obvious to provide a hopper angle of about 20 degrees as this would be determined by the optimum desired flow towards the outlet. The percentage of holes in the hopper (and multiple outlets) seems to be encompassed by the Walters device as seen in Figure 3; however, it would have been obvious to provide the specific percentage of holes in the area of the hopper (and multiple outlets) in order to accurately secure the hopper to the various structures and allow for dispensing to multiple areas.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 7, 8, 10-14, and 16-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claim 20 is allowed.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dugge, Calaunan, and Fix et al. show other aerators; and Geiser shows a silo-type dispensing structure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Kaufman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30AM-2PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,362

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1008.

Joseph AV Kaufman Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 375,4

Jak May 22, 2006